

NORBERT WIENER'S ERGODIC THEOREM FOR CONVEX REGIONS

BY

NORBERTO A. FAVA AND JORGE H. NANCLARES

ABSTRACT. It is proved that the geometric hypothesis of a theorem which generalizes Norbert Wiener's multiparameter ergodic theorem are satisfied in the case of arbitrary convex regions, provided only that they form a substantial family as defined in the introduction.

1. Introduction. Let T be the set of all points $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ with nonnegative coordinates in k -dimensional euclidean space and let $(\theta_t, t \in T)$ be a k -parameter semigroup of measure preserving transformations of the σ -finite measure space (X, μ) . One of the authors has proved in [2] that if $(D_\alpha, \alpha > 0)$ is an increasing family of bounded regions in R^k whose union is T , then for every function f in $L^1(X)$, the averages

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} f(\theta_t x) dt,$$

where vertical bars stand for Lebesgue measure, converge for almost all x as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, provided that the regions D_α satisfy the following hypothesis of geometric nature:

(A) There exists a family $(P_\alpha, \alpha > 0)$ of closed cells and a positive constant C such that for each α , $P_\alpha \supset D_\alpha$ and $|D_\alpha| \geq C|P_\alpha|$.

(B) For each t in R^k

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|(t + D_\alpha) \Delta D_\alpha|}{|D_\alpha|} = 0,$$

where Δ denotes the symmetric difference.

(C) If $B_{K,\alpha}$ is the set of all points t in R^k such that $t + D_\alpha$ intersects the compact set K without covering it, then

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_{K,\alpha}|}{|D_\alpha|} = 0.$$

Since the above stated conditions are satisfied when D_α is the intersection

Received by the editors June 20, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47A35, 52A20; Secondary 28A65, 47D05.

Key words and phrases. Ergodic theorem, k -parameter semigroup, measure preserving transformations, convex compact sets, supporting hyperplanes, Blaschke's selection theorem.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

of T with the ball of radius α , that result was legitimately considered an extension of the now classical Wiener's ergodic theorem [3].

A family of regions D_α satisfying (A) is sometimes called a substantial family in differentiation theory; it has the purpose of granting the validity of the "maximal ergodic inequality" [2, Theorem 1].

As to the less familiar conditions (B) and (C), it is the aim of this note to prove that they are both satisfied if the regions D_α are convex.

2. Convex regions. According to the preceding, we shall assume that the sets of the family (D_α , $\alpha > 0$) are convex and compact regions whose union is T and that $\alpha < \beta$ implies $D_\alpha \subset D_\beta$. By "parallelotope" we mean in the sequel any set which is congruent to an interval in R^k . The parallelotope P is said to circumscribe a convex set C if the faces of P are contained in supporting hyperplanes of C .

Let K_α^u be the set of all points t in R^k whose distance to the complement of D_α is not less than the positive number u . Then K_α^u is convex, compact and contained in D_α , and condition (B) will be proved if we show that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|} = 1 \quad \text{for each positive number } u.$$

For if (2) is satisfied, given t in R^k , let us choose a number u which exceeds the length of the vector t . Then K_α^u is contained both in D_α and in $t + D_\alpha$. Denoting by $A \setminus B$ the set of points in A not in B , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|D_\alpha \Delta (t + D_\alpha)|}{|D_\alpha|} &= \frac{|D_\alpha \setminus (t + D_\alpha)| + |(t + D_\alpha) \setminus D_\alpha|}{|D_\alpha|} \\ &\leq \frac{|D_\alpha \setminus K_\alpha^u| + |(t + D_\alpha) \setminus K_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|} = 2 \left(1 - \frac{|K_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression tends to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ by virtue of (2).

In order to prove (2) let us call P_α the parallelotope of minimum volume circumscribing D_α and let Q be the unit cube in R^k , defined by the relations $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$). We consider the affine transformation A_α such that $A_\alpha(P_\alpha) = Q$. Since $|P_\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\det A_\alpha$ tends to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. The sets $E_\alpha = A_\alpha(D_\alpha)$ and $L_\alpha^u = A_\alpha(K_\alpha^u)$ are convex, compact and contained in Q . Moreover

$$|E_\alpha| = |\det A_\alpha| |D_\alpha|, \quad |L_\alpha^u| = |\det A_\alpha| |K_\alpha^u|.$$

On the other hand, we recall that the class of all nonvoid convex compact sets contained in Q is a metric space with respect to the distance Δ defined in [1, p. 60], and that this metric space is compact by virtue of Blaschke's selection theorem [1, p. 64]. Therefore any sequence $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ contains a subsequence α_{n_k} such that the limits

$$E = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_{\alpha_k}, \quad L^u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_{\alpha_k}^u$$

exist, are convex, compact, nonvoid and contained in Q . For simplicity of notation, we shall assume that $\alpha_n = n$ and that the subsequence coincides with the whole sequence.

Let now \mathcal{C} be the class of all convex compact sets C in R^k such that Q is the parallelotope of minimum volume circumscribing C . We claim that \mathcal{C} is a compact family in the above described metric space. For if C is the limit of a sequence C_n of sets in \mathcal{C} and Q' is the parallelotope of minimum volume circumscribing C , we consider for each n the parallelotope Q_n which circumscribes C_n with the same orientation as Q' (i.e. with faces parallel to those of Q'). Then

$$Q' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n, \quad \text{and } |Q_n| \geq |Q| \text{ for each } n.$$

Since volume is continuous, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the last relation, we obtain $|Q'| \geq |Q|$ so that, in fact, equality holds and C is a member of \mathcal{C} , which proves that \mathcal{C} is closed, and therefore compact, as we claimed. Since $E_n \in \mathcal{C}$ for each n , it follows that $E \in \mathcal{C}$. This implies that the measure of E is positive (a convex set of measure zero cannot belong to \mathcal{C} , for it is contained in some hyperplane).

From the fact that the norm of the linear part of A_α , that we denote by $\|A_\alpha\|$, tends to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and from the inequality

$$\Delta(L_n^u, E_n) \leq \|A_n\| \cdot \Delta(K_n^u, D_n) \leq u \cdot \|A_n\|$$

we conclude that $L^u = E$. Finally, the obvious relations

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_n^u|}{|D_n|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|L_n^u|}{|E_n|} = \frac{|E|}{|E|} = 1$$

prove that (2), and therefore (B), hold in the case of convex regions.

In order to prove (C), we consider the set D_α^u consisting of all points t in R^k whose distance to D_α does not exceed the positive number u . The sets thus defined are convex, compact, and satisfy the relation

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|D_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|} = 1 \quad \text{for each positive number } u,$$

whose proof we omit, since it is completely analogous to that of (2). If we take u equal to the diameter of the compact set K , then $t \in B_{K,\alpha}$ implies $(K - t) \subset D_\alpha^u$ and $(K - t) \cap K_\alpha^u = \emptyset$. Therefore

$$B_{K,\alpha} \subset \{t: (K - t) \subset D_\alpha^u \setminus K_\alpha^u\}, \quad u = \text{diam}(K),$$

from which it follows that $|B_{K,\alpha}| \leq |D_\alpha^u| - |K_\alpha^u|$ and also

$$(4) \quad \frac{|B_{K,\alpha}|}{|D_\alpha|} \leq \frac{|D_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|} - \frac{|K_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|}.$$

Since the right hand member of (4) tends to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ by virtue of (2) and (3) our assertion is established.

REFERENCES

1. H. G. Eggleston, *Convexity*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1958. MR 23 #A2123.
2. N. A. Fava, *k-parameter semigroups of measure-preserving transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 177 (1973), 345–352. MR 47 #6995.
3. N. Wiener, *The ergodic theorem*, Duke Math. J. 5 (1939), 1–18.

RIVERA INDARTE 168, 1406 BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA